Revealing electronic nature of broad bound exciton bands in two-dimensional semiconducting WS$_2$ and MoS$_2$
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Owing to unique electronic, excitonic, and valleytronic properties, atomically thin transition metal dichalcogenides are becoming a promising two-dimensional (2D) semiconductor system for diverse electronic and optoelectronic applications. In an ideal 2D semiconductor, efficient carrier transport is very difficult because of lacking free charge carriers. Doping is necessary for electrically driven device applications based on such 2D semiconductors, which requires investigation of electronic structure changes induced by dopants. Therefore probing correlations between localized electronic states and doping is important. Here, we address the electronic nature of broad bound exciton bands and their origins in exfoliated monolayer (1L) WS$_2$ and MoS$_2$ through monitoring low-temperature photoluminescence and manipulating electrostatic doping. The dominant bound excitons in 1L WS$_2$ vary from donor to acceptor bound excitons with the switching from $n$- to $p$-type doping. In 1L MoS$_2$, two localized emission bands appear which are assigned to neutral and ionized donor bound excitons, respectively. The deep donor and acceptor states play critical roles in the observed bound exciton bands, indicating the presence of strongly localized excitons in such 2D semiconductors.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The emerging transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) layers as atomically thin semiconductors have attracted great attention and become an exciting material system for fundamental research [1] and device applications [2]. Fascinating properties of such 2D semiconductors have been uncovered such as strong quantum confinement [3,4], robust spin-obit coupling [5,6], enhanced Coulomb interaction [7], large excitonic effect [8], unique valley polarization [9], and distinguished many-body interactions [10]. Recently, monolayer (1L) field-effect transistors [11], photodetectors [12], light-emitting diodes [13], and nanolasers [14] have been demonstrated as counterparts of conventional Si and III-V-based devices, which open up many opportunities for developing the next-generation electronics and optoelectronics with desirable features such as ultrathin thickness, high transparency, and great flexibility. In most used semiconductor devices, efficient carrier transport is necessary. However, the electrical conductivity in a perfect semiconductor is very limited owing to lack of free charge carriers. In practice, doping has become a crucial strategy to bring in sufficient free carriers. Natural and artificial semiconductors contain some impurities and defects more or less, which typically result in unintentional doping. In 1L MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$, such doping has also been found [15,16] while the origin is still under discussion. Therefore investigation of unintentional doping mechanisms of these promising 2D semiconductors is important to boost practical device applications.

Theoretically, the formation energies of native defects and their influence on the electronic structure of 1L MoS$_2$ were investigated based on the density functional theory [17]. Meanwhile, the modifications of optical and electrical conductivities caused by point defects in MoS$_2$ and WS$_2$ were simulated according to a large-system tight-binding model [18]. To realize $p$- and $n$-type doping in MoS$_2$, different substitutive and absorbable dopants were considered such as Nb, Re, and molecular ions [19]. Experimentally, surface morphologies of structural defects in layered MoS$_2$, MoSe$_2$, WS$_2$, and WSe$_2$ were studied by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) [20,21]. The elements in natural MoS$_2$ crystals were examined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS), where diverse types and amounts of impurities were observed in different molybdenite sources [22,23]. Although some progress has been made on the structures and types of defects in as-exfoliated 1L WS$_2$ and MoS$_2$, their impact on unintentional doping remains unclear. Thus direct experimental explorations are desired to reveal native localized states and their doping dependence. Particularly, the low-temperature photoluminescence (PL) is sensitive to impurity- and defect-induced gap states in traditional semiconductors such as Si [24], GaAs [25], and...
FIG. 1. Photoluminescence of 1L WS2. (a) Photoluminescence spectra of as-exfoliated 1L WS2 collected at RT (300 K) and 4.2 K, respectively. (b) Photoluminescence spectra of a field-effect device using exfoliated 1L WS2 taken at RT and 4.2 K, respectively. (c) Photon energies of $X_A$, $X^-A$, and intensity ratios of $I_T/I_E$ in as-exfoliated 1L WS2 and the field-effect device at RT. Localized state emission is denoted by LS. $I_E$ and $I_T$ represent integrated intensities of $X_A$ and $X^-A$, respectively.

ZnO [26], where optical transitions with lower energies than the ones of the band gaps or excitons have been linked to specific gap states caused by donors, acceptors, vacancies, and so on. Recently, low-energy emission bands were observed in exfoliated 1L MoS2 [15], MoSe2 [27], WS2 [28,29], and WSe2 [13], while less attention was paid to their electronic nature and correlations with different types of impurities and defects.

Here, we have identified dopant-related localized states and studied their origins in exfoliated 1L WS2 and MoS2 by low-temperature PL spectroscopy with tunable electrostatic doping. Low-energy PL bands have been observed, which reflect the excitonic transitions involved with localized gap states. The prevailing emission caused by localized states varies with the doping, which provides us hints to identify the types of bound excitons and narrow down the scope of the underlying impurities and defects. Moreover, specific impurity dopants and structural defects responsible for low-energy PL have been proposed and analyzed. As a result, the observed optical transitions associated with localized gap states have been correlated with donor and acceptor-bound excitons.

II. METHODS

The used 1L WS2 and MoS2 were mechanically exfoliated from natural MoS2 and synthesized WS2 crystals (purchased from 2D semiconductors Inc.) onto SiO2/Si substrates by the scotch tape technique [30], where the thickness of the top SiO2 is 280 nm and the underlying Si is p-type doped. The field-effect devices were fabricated by following a standard procedure including e-beam lithography, electrode deposition, and wire-bonding as reported previously [31]. The back-gate voltage ($V_g$) was applied by a Keithley 4200 characterization system. A customized micro-PL/Raman spectroscopic system was used to carry out PL measurements, where a liquid helium cryostat, a vacuum tube, and a three-dimensional piezostage were included. The sample chamber was initially pumped down to an ultrahigh vacuum (i.e., pressure of $\sim 10^{-5}$ mbar) to minimize the effect of the ambient surroundings (e.g., gas molecules of H2O and O2). After that, high-purity (99.9995%) helium gas was flowed into the chamber as a protection and cooling medium to maintain a low pressure of 18 mbar. The samples were excited by a commercial 532-nm Nd:YAG laser and a 50 × objective lens was used to focus the laser beam on the sample surface with a spot size of $\sim 1$ μm. A grating (600/mm) spectrometer was employed to measure the PL signals, where a thermoelectrically cooled detector was equipped.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The low panel of Fig. 1(a) shows the room-temperature (RT) PL spectrum of the as-exfoliated 1L WS2, where the emission profile consists of two components due to exciton ($X_A$) and negative trion ($X^-A$) emission components at 1.97 and 2.0 eV, respectively. With the temperature decreasing to 4.2 K, both bands blueshift and narrow as presented in the upper panel of Fig. 1(a). The new emission features, i.e., a broad emission assembly with several narrow spikes around 2.02 eV, appear at the low-energy side, which is attributed to optical transitions associated with localized states within the band gap. The broad localized state band as indicated by LS vanishes at RT mainly due to the increasing thermal disorder and quenching, which is consistent with the typical temperature-dependent behaviors of the bound exciton emission [32]. Besides, the very narrow emission peaks in 1L TMD and BN have recently been studied in details, which are associated with quantum emitters due to defects (e.g., vacancies) and/or nanoclusters [33-37]. Hence, instead of the investigation of each narrow emission spike, we focus on the overall electronic nature of the broad low-energy band as an ensemble of multiple emission components involved with a wide range of localized states.

Figure 1(b) presents PL spectra of a field-effect device of 1L WS2 collected at RT and 4.2 K, respectively. The main emission changes from $X_A$ and $X^-A$ to localized states with the decrease of temperature. Compared with the PL of the as-exfoliated 1L WS2 at 4.2 K [Fig. 1(a)], the localized state emission of this device becomes more dominant and its overall profile shifts to low energies around 2.0 eV, which is possibly...
caused by the different unintentional doping. As indicated by the PL data at RT [Fig. 1(c)], the photon energy of $X_A$ (or $X_A^-$) in the 1L WS$_2$ device blueshifts (or redshifts) and the intensity ratio of $X_A^-$ to $X_A$ increases compared with those of the as-exfoliated 1L WS$_2$, hinting at the relatively high $n$-type doping in this device. Similar energy shifts and intensity changes have been observed in the electrically gated 1L WS$_2$ devices with the increasing electron doping [28,29,31], which support the argumentation here. Note that, for exfoliated and transferred 1L WS$_2$ flakes, the intensity ratio of $X_A$ to $X_A^-$ generally varies from sample to sample and the precise mechanisms can be diverse in reality. For examples, the flake morphology, the contact with the substrate, and the electrical stress may affect the PL properties [38,39]. In addition, at 4.2 K, the components of $X_A$ and $X_A^-$ in Fig. 1(b) can be seen when the PL intensity is plotted in logarithmic scale [see Fig. 2(a)].

Figure 2(a) presents PL spectra of a 1L WS$_2$ field-effect device collected at different values of $V_g$, where $X_A$, $X_A^-$, and...
LS appear as indicated via guidelines. The PL map versus $V_g$ and energy in the range of 2.05–2.13 eV is shown in Fig. 2(b), where $X_A$ and $X_A^+$ components are more distinguishable with the separation of 32 ± 3 meV at $V_g > 0$ V. Here, we focus on the gate-dependent behavior of LS in the corresponding PL map [Fig. 2(c)]. The LS emission shows different dependence above and below −20 V. More clearly, the peak energy and the peak intensity versus $V_g$ have been plotted in Fig. 2(d). With the increase of $V_g$ from −60 to 60 V, the emission energy blueshifts and the peak intensity decreases at $V_g < −20$ V, and vice versa at $V_g > −20$ V. Such changes result from the switching of the dominant bound excitons as discussed later. Figures 2(e) and 2(f) present the excitation power dependence of LS obtained at $V_g = −60$ and 60 V, respectively, where the dependence is nearly linear and the shifts of the emission photon energies (i.e., within the error range) cannot be fully resolved. According to experimental and theoretical studies on excitation-power-dependent PL of semiconductors [40–42], the slopes of near-band-edge emission components due to donor and acceptor bound excitons are ≥1, which generally depend on the excitation conditions and the sample quality. In particular, the expected slopes of these bound excitons are close to 1 when the nearly resonant excitation laser is used for a relatively high-quality sample [41,42]. The corresponding PL maps and the estimated Fermi levels of this device under various doping conditions have been shown as Figs. S1 and S2 in Ref. [43]. In another 1L WS$_2$ field-effect device, at the larger $V_g$ range from −120 to 60 V, such doping-induced changes of intensity and position of the LS emission become more obvious (Fig. S3 in Ref. [43]), which also indicates the presence of similar types of localized states although the unintentional doping levels of different 1L WS$_2$ devices vary.

In the present spectral range, we consider the possible emission species including neutral donor-bound exciton (DX$_A$), neutral acceptor-bound exciton (AX$_A$), donor-acceptor pairs (DAP), ionized donor bound exciton (D$^+$X$_A$), and ionized acceptor bound exciton (A$^−$X$_A$) accounting for the observed LS emission. Firstly, according to theoretical studies [44], D$^+$X$_A$ or A$^−$X$_A$ in a semiconductor material typically requires a heavier effective mass of hole or electron, respectively, and thus these two types of bound excitons generally cannot coexist when the effective masses of hole and electron are not equal. In 2D semiconductors, the binding energy of D$^+$X$_A$ is predicted to be dependent on the critical mass ratio $\sigma_c$ (i.e., the maximum value for stable D$^+$X$_A$ and D$^+$X$_A$ remains stable until $\sigma_c = 0.88$ [45]. Previous studies of 1L WS$_2$ have shown an effective mass ratio of electron to hole ($\sigma$) of 0.74 [46], 0.84 [47], 0.83 [48] or 0.98 [49], where three of four calculations [46–49] suggest $\sigma < 0.88$ and all indicate a heavier effective hole mass in such 1L WS$_2$. Therefore, in our case, the D$^+$X$_A$ excitons are preferred rather than the A$^−$X$_A$ excitons. Secondly, within the rest three species, the emission due to DAP normally shows the sublinear excitation power dependence [41], different from those of DX$_A$ and AX$_A$ excitons. Hence the observed low-energy bands with the nearly linear power dependence are unlikely caused by DAP. Lastly, the roles of DX$_A$, AX$_A$, and D$^+$X$_A$ need to be further analyzed. Normally, the donor-bound or acceptor-bound excitons are more pronounced in $n$- or $p$-type semiconductors, respectively. For example, in most as-grown ZnO (i.e., $n$-type), the emission due to donor-bound excitons is usually dominant in low-temperature PL spectra rather than other localized emission states [50]. At $V_g = 0$ V, this field-effect device of 1L WS$_2$ is $n$-doped (Fig. S4 in Ref. [43]) and the main impurity dopants are supposed to be donors. Furthermore, the charged carriers (i.e., electrons) bound to donor or acceptor ions would be affected by the electrostatic environment. With the increase in electron doping, the amount of AX$_A$ is expected to decrease due to the increasing probability of the compensation between electrons and the holes bound to acceptor ions; DX$_A$ is more favorable than D$^+$X$_A$ considering that a DX$_A$ consists of a D$^+$X$_A$ and an additional electron. For 1L TMDs, experimental studies have shown that the excitons are more pronounced around the charge neutral state and the trions become more important with the increase of doping [27,31,51]. Moreover, we can interpret that a D$^+$X$_A$ contains an ionized donor and an exciton, and thus the increase of excitons in the charge neutral environment is in favor of the formation of D$^+$X$_A$.

In other words, D$^+$X$_A$ prefers to exist in the charge neutral environment rather than the negatively charged surrounding and thus cannot account for the observed intensity changes at $V_g > −20$ V [Fig. 2(c)]. In our case, the LS band presents different dependence of PL peak intensities and energies above and below −20 V, which indicates two kinds of dominant emission species involved here. At $V_g > −20$ V, the LS intensity rapidly increases with the rising electron concentration, which is consistent with the emission features due to DX$_A$ discussed above. Meanwhile, with the electron withdrawing at $V_g < −20$ V, the localized emission at the lower photon energies, showing the opposite features to those of DX$_A$, is mainly attributed to AX$_A$. In addition, the AX$_A$ excitons typically own larger binding energies than those of DX$_A$ excitons [52], which is consistent with the observations here. On the whole, our observations support that DX$_A$ and AX$_A$ take responsibilities for the observed features above and below −20 V, respectively.

Figure 3(a) shows the PL map of a field-effect device of exfoliated 1L MoS$_2$ versus photon energy and $V_g$, where five components have been observed and marked by $X_A$, $X_A$, $X_A^+$, $L_1$, and $L_2$, respectively. The estimated Fermi levels of this device at varied voltages are shown as Fig. S5 in Ref. [43]. As known, the spin-orbit interaction is strong in 1L TMD and thus results in a large energy splitting around the valance band maximum, for example, ~0.15 eV in 1L MoS$_2$ [6,53]. Till now, the doping effect on such spin-orbit coupling has not caused enough attention. In general, the energy splitting of A and B bands in 1L TMDs reflects the strength of spin-orbital coupling. According to our observations, the energy separation between $X_A$ and $X_B$ shrinks with the increase in electron doping (Fig. S6 in Ref. [43]), which suggests a possible weakening of the spin-orbit interaction with the rise in Fermi level. A similar trend can be found in the absorption studies of 1L MoS$_2$ under electrical gating [54], which is consistent with our PL data. Besides, for the observed change of the energy separation, other factors such as Coulomb screening, state filling, and electrical heating could not be fully ruled out here, which require further investigation. Two PL spectra are presented in Fig. 3(b), where four and five Lorentz functions are used to reproduce the curves at 30 and ~30 V, respectively. Note that, at low temperatures (4–10 K), the dominant
emission bands around 1.9 eV of the exfoliated 1L MoS$_2$ samples have shown different PL widths from 37 to $\sim$70 meV [53–58], which have been attributed to $X_A$ [53,55,56], $X^-_A$ [54], or the mixture of $X_A$ and $X^-_A$ [54,57], respectively. Beyond these studies [53–58], we have clearly shown that the localized state emission plays an important role in the dominant emission bands around 1.9 eV of typical exfoliated 1L MoS$_2$ samples with the increase of electron doping at low temperature (Fig. 3). Meanwhile, our observations indicate that, to accurately analyze the low-temperature emission band near the A-exciton transition in a specific exfoliated 1L MoS$_2$, the possible contributions from $X_A$, $X^-_A$, and localized states need to be simultaneously taken into account. Subsequently, the obtained bandwidth of $X_A$ is in the range from 13 to 21 meV (see Fig. S7 in Ref. [43]), which is much smaller than the previously reported ones [53,55,56].

Moreover, the contribution of each component varies with $V_g$. In particular, $L_1$ or $L_2$ plays a more important role in PL at 30 or $-30$ V, respectively, which implies different doping sensitivities of the corresponding emission species in the $n$-type environment. The spectral evolution can be seen more clearly in Fig. 3(c) where the logarithmic scale is used for the PL intensity. Meanwhile, the enlarged PL spectra around $X_A$ are also plotted in the linear scale (Fig. S8 in Ref. [43]), showing the same tendency. With $V_g$ sweeping from negative to positive values, the contribution of $X_A$ becomes negligible at $V_g > -15$ V. In details, for the doping dependence of photon energies [Fig. 3(d)], only $X_A$ shows a blueshift with the increase of $V_g$, while the other four components redshift. As shown in Fig. 3(e), the intensities of four components show clear trends with the increase of $V_g$, where the intensities of $X_A$, $X^-_A$, and $L_2$ decrease while the one of $L_1$ increases. Besides, the intensity change of $X_B$ is not monotonous, possibly resulting from the competition of different emission species. According to the responses of $L_1$ and $L_2$ to the electrostatic doping, the involved bound excitons have been further analyzed with the similar strategy for 1L WS$_2$ above. On the one hand, considering the enhanced emission and the redshift of $L_1$ with...
the electron injection, it is attributed to DXA. On the other hand, the possible roles of DXA, D+XA, and A−XA in L2 need to be further addressed, while DAP unlikely accounts for L2 seeing that the nearly linear excitation power dependence is presented later [Fig. 4(c)] rather than the typical sublinear behavior of DAP [41]. In details, either D+XA or A−XA can exist in 1L MoS2 since different effective mass ratios of electron to hole have been reported such as 0.80 [59], 1.11 [49], 0.92 [47], and 1.76 [10] based on theoretical calculations. Nevertheless, in most n-type semiconductors, A−XA rarely exists [44,52]. The field-effect device of 1L MoS2 shows the n-type behavior (Fig. S4 in Ref. [43]), and consequently D+XA is more prevalent than A−XA here. Both AXA and D+XA can result in the observed intensity changes of L2 [Fig. 3(e)]. The D+XA can take responsibility for the observed energy shift of L2 [Fig. 3(d)], while the expected shift of AXA is opposite.

FIG. 4. Excitation dependent photoluminescence of 1L MoS2: (a) Photoluminescence map of 1L MoS2 device in the photon energies ranging from 1.68 to 2.06 eV at 4.2 K. (b) Photoluminescence spectrum taken at 67 µW. (c) Excitation-power dependence of integrated intensities of X−A, DXA, and D+XA. (d) Excitation-power dependence of photon energies of X−A, DXA, and D+XA. (e) Photoluminescence map of 1L MoS2 device in the photon energies ranging from 1.98 to 2.2 eV. (f) Excitation-power dependence of integrated intensity and photon energy of XB. The solid lines in (c) and (f) represent the linear fit curves with the slopes (α).
The binding energy of DXA is supposed to be proportional to the ionized energy of donor [60] and the similar dependence has also been found for D+XA [50]. In other words, the higher photon energy of DXA is normally associated with the higher photon energy of D+XA [50,61]. Thus similar trends of energy shifts are expected for DXA and D+XA here, which are consistent with our observations for L1 and L2. In brief, the L2 band in 1L MoS2 is assigned to D+XA here.

Figure 4(a) presents the PL intensity map near the A exciton emission versus the photon energy and excitation power of a field-effect 1L MoS2 transistor. The PL spectrum taken at the excitation power of 67 µW can be well reproduced by fitting with three Lorentzian peaks [Fig. 4(b)], which are attributed to XA, DXA (L1), and D+XA (L2) according to the discussion above, respectively. The PL intensities of these three bands show the similar linear dependence on the excitation power [Fig. 4(c)]. With the increase in excitation power, the photon energies of three components shift towards lower energies, which is mainly attributed to optically induced electron doping under photoexcitation [Fig. 4(d)] and is consistent with the observations under electrostatic doping [Fig. 3(d)]. Previously, a similar optically induced doping effect has been verified in 1L WS2 [31]. Furthermore, the PL intensity map around the B exciton emission is presented in Fig. 4(e). With the increase of the excitation power, the photon energy of XB decreases, while the PL intensity increases in a nearly linear manner [Fig. 4(f)]. At relatively low excitation powers (<1 mW), the shift of XB is mainly attributed to the rise of Fermi level (via optical doping) associated with the shrinking of spin-orbit splitting, in agreement with the observation [Fig. 3(d)] under electron doping. At high excitation powers (>1 mW), the lattice heating effect caused by photoexcitation may also play a role in the redshift of XB.

Previously, the low-energy bands around 1.8 eV were observed at low temperatures in many exfoliated 1L MoS2 samples [9,53,55–57,62,63] and correlated to bound/localized exciton states [53,56,62,63]. Although these emission states have been linked to surface effects [57,63] or defect traps [9], the nature of this low-energy band (i.e., L2 denoted in our cases) in exfoliated 1L MoS2 is still not fully understood. On the one hand, the L2 band can be affected by the dielectric environment such as oxide coverage [63] and substrates [9]. Referring to the typical samples exfoliated on SiO2/Si substrates, depression or enhancement of L2 was observed at 10 K for 1L MoS2 capped by HfO2 and Al2O3 [63] or at 14 K for 1L MoS2 on the hexagonal BN [9], respectively. For few-layer exfoliated MoS2, elevation or suppression of L2 has also been observed with the encapsulation of SiO2 or Si3N4, respectively [15]. Thus the dielectric surrounding indeed impacts the L2 emission. Two possible reasons, i.e., surface adsorbates [63] and charge transfer [15], were proposed to take responsibilities for the emission changes. Our gated PL measurements have unambiguously demonstrated that the n-type electrostatic doping results in the suppression of the L2 band, supporting the charge transfer mechanism above. On the other hand, impurity elements [22,23] and structural defects [22,64,65] naturally exist in exfoliated MoS2 samples and consequently the additional gap states can be expected according to theoretical calculations [17–19]. Here, we consider that the observed L2 band at 4.2 K most probably originates from the unintentional impurity- and defect-induced gap states. Note that, different PL studies of exfoliated 1L MoS2 on the substrates of SiO2/Si [53,57,62] and BN [9] have shown the similar peaks to L2, implying that L2 most likely originates from the 1L MoS2 itself rather than the complex of 1L MoS2 and its surrounding environment. In the freestanding exfoliated 1L MoS2, the RT PL emission consists of the contributions from both neutral excitons and negative trions [66], showing that as-exfoliated 1L MoS2 itself is n-type even without the substrate-induced doping. Such native n-type doping in as-exfoliated 1L MoS2 is indicative of the presence of impurity and defect related dopants. More evidently, a similar low-energy band was observed at 80 K for a suspended MoS2 [67], in support of the defective nature rather than the substrate-related mechanism. Experimentally, with α particle irradiation or thermal annealing, the treated 1L MoS2 showed a new low-energy band with the peak position at ~0.15 eV below the exciton emission at 77–300 K [68], suggesting that the defect sites can result in the low-energy band emission. Note that, compared with the observed L2 here, the created emission band of the defective sample at 77–300 K [68] shows the different excitation power dependence (i.e.,
sub-linear) and an additional sensitivity to N₂ gas environment, which are probably due to the involved defect structures and impurities by artificial treatments different from those of as-exfoliated ones. Based on the concerns above, L₂ most probably originates from the defective nature of 1L MoS₂ resulting from impurities and structural defects rather than the surface effect caused by the dielectric surroundings, which is also consistent with our assignment of D⁺Xₐ.

Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show the observed excitonic transitions (left panel) and involved electronic levels with charged particles (right panel) in the field-effect devices of 1L WS₂ and MoS₂, respectively. In addition to Xₐ and Xₐ, the localized emission bands due to DXₐ and AXₐ are present in 1L WS₂ while DXₐ and D⁺Xₐ appear in 1L MoS₂. Empirically, the binding energy of neutral donor/acceptor bound exciton (E_BE) linearly depends on the ionization energy (E_i) of the donor/acceptor known as Haynes’s rule [60], i.e., E_BE = C E_i, where C is a constant between 0.09 and 0.23 for 2D and quasi-2D semiconductors [69–71]. In our case, the observed E_BE of DXₐ is about 0.1 eV in 1L WS₂, which is significantly larger than those of conventional semiconductors [24–26,60]. Hence the neutral donor level in 1L WS₂ should be located within the range from 0.43 to 1.1 eV below the conduction band minimum (CBM). Unlike the dominant emission caused by shallow donor levels in classic semiconductors of Si [60], GaAs [25] and ZnO [26], the deep donor states account for the observed DXₐ emission in 1L WS₂. Similarly, the deep acceptor states are responsible for the observed AXₐ. Previous theoretical works [72–75] have shown that impurities and structural defects can introduce localized states within the band gap in 1L WS₂ (see Table S1 in Ref. [43]). For example, the substitution impurity of Mn in 1L WS₂ results in deep localized levels [73] close to the observed donor-bound exciton states above. Meanwhile, our simulations show that carbon (C) substitution and single sulphur vacancy in 1L WS₂ also introduce deep energy levels within the electronic band gap, respectively (see Fig. S9 in Ref. [43]). Further quantitative elemental analysis is needed to precisely determine specific impurities and structural defects in 1L WS₂.

In 1L MoS₂, the estimated neutral donor level associated with DXₐ is located at 0.26–0.67 eV below CBM by use of the dissociation energy of ~60 meV, i.e., the energy difference between L₁ and Xₐ extracted from Fig. 3(d). Similarly, the dominant localized state associated with D⁺Xₐ is expected to be deeper than that with DXₐ due to the larger dissociation energy of D⁺Xₐ. On the one side, the impurity atoms in natural bulk MoS₂ have been determined by the highly sensitive ICPMS [22,23], where the impurity concentrations depend on the crystal sources [22]. The used natural MoS₂ crystal here is similar to the one of α-MoS₂ in the previous studies [22] and thus analogous impurities can be expected in our 1L MoS₂. Therefore we consider the following dominant impurities of Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, Mg, Mn, P, Na, Ti, W, and Zn (see Table S1 in Ref. [43]). Within these elements, the impurities of Cu, Fe, Mn, and Ti can bring in the localized states nearby the observed donor levels in 1L MoS₂ according to previous theoretical studies [76–79]. Our calculations also indicate that the Fe substitution indeed results in deep localized states (see Fig. S10 in Ref. [43]). On the other side, based on previous [17–19,72,76–80] and our theoretical simulations, we mainly consider the roles of two structural defects, i.e., sulphur vacancy and interfacial Mo, in the localized state emission of 1L MoS₂. For the sulphur vacancy, it can induce two nearby deep states and one shallow level above the valance band maximum (see Fig. S10 in Ref. [43]), which typically act as acceptor levels [17,80] and cannot account for the observed donor bound excitons. In contrast, the interstitial Mo defect in 1L MoS₂ can cause the n-type doping and acts as a donor, where the donor level is 0.25 eV below the CBM [17] and close to our estimated range. Hence, the interstitial Mo defects may be responsible for the observed transitions of DXₐ. Note that, large excitonic effects are significantly underestimated in the most previous theoretical studies [17–19,72,78,80] on electronic band structures of impurity- and defect-modified 1L MoS₂ and WS₂, which will cause the uncertainties to further correlate the chemical structures with localized states, and thus more advanced calculation approaches [10,49] (e.g., GW-BSE) are required for further theoretical verification.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

To sum up, the broad bound exciton bands and their origins in exfoliated 1L WS₂ and MoS₂ have been investigated by low-temperature PL spectroscopy with controllable doping. The dominant emission bands due to localized states are sensitive to the electrical doping, which enable the determination of the correlations of specific bound exciton types with donor and acceptor levels. At 4.2 K, the dominant bound exciton type responsible for the localized emission around 2 eV in 1L WS₂ changes from DXₐ to AXₐ with the switching from n- to p-type doping, and two localized emission bands around 1.9 and 1.8 eV of 1L MoS₂ are respectively assigned to DXₐ and D⁺Xₐ. Particularly, the deep donor and acceptor levels are involved with the observed bound exciton transitions rather than shallow impurity states, highlighting the large bound excitonic effect (i.e., extrinsic) in such 2D semiconductors. Furthermore, possible impurities and structural defects have been analyzed to account for widely observed localized emission bands. This study sheds light on developing the controllable doping strategy for electronic and optoelectronic applications based on 2D semiconductors.
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