Vertically Aligned Gold Nanorod Monolayer on Arbitrary Substrates: Self-Assembly and Femtomolar Detection of Food Contaminants
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ABSTRACT Public attention to the food scandals raises an urgent need to develop effective and reliable methods to detect food contaminants. The current prevailing detections are primarily based upon liquid chromatography, mass spectroscopy, or colorimetric methods, which usually require sophisticated and time-consuming steps or sample preparation. Herein, we develop a facile strategy to assemble the vertically aligned monolayer of Au nanorods with a nominal 0.8 nm gap distance and demonstrate their applications in the rapid detection of plasticizers and melamine contamination at femtomolar level by surface-enhanced Raman scattering spectroscopy (SERS). The SERS signals of plasticizers are sensitive down to 0.9 fM concentrations in orange juices. It is the lowest detection limit reported to date, which is 7 orders of magnitude lower than the standard of United States (6 ppb). The highly organized vertical arrays generate the reproducible “SERS-active sites” and can be achieved on arbitrary substrates, ranging from silicon, gallium nitride, glass to flexible poly(ethylene naphthalate) substrates.
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Food and drink safety has become one of the most urgent needs in our daily life, especially since the occurrence of the dioxin egg scandal and recent scandals of melamine in infant formula and plasticizers in food and drinks.1–3 Such foodborne hazards come either from environmental hazards (e.g., contamination of phthalate plasticizers from processing equipment such as piping or containers) or from illegal addition driven by the economic benefit (e.g., the melamine in infant formula and plasticizer contaminations in food and drinks). This has imposed a pressing demand for rapid, inexpensive but effective and reliable methods to detect food contamination. However, the current available techniques, such as high-performance liquid chromatography,4 mass spectroscopy,5 and colorimetric detection,6,7 are restricted by time-consuming steps, inadequate detection limits, and complicated sample pretreatment steps such as extraction, preconcentration, and derivatization. An ideal detection strategy should exhibit high sensitivity and specificity and require a minimal sample preparation with rapid detection and low cost.8

Recently, the self-assembly of monodisperse metal nanoparticles into ordered arrays has intrigued interest for surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectroscopy, which is capable of providing highly resolved specific vibrational molecular information to detect and identify molecules, and requires little sample preparation.9,10 The essential idea toward high sensitivity SERS detection is the engineering of noble metal containing substrates toward a highly localized
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electromagnetic field. It has been proved that an enhancement factor (EF) value between $1 \times 10^6$ and $1 \times 10^8$ is adequate to achieve single-molecule detection. In the past decades, many developments have been achieved on the SERS-active nanostructures, such as Au and Ag nanoparticles, nanoshells, and colloidal metal nanoparticle arrays. However, many SERS-active substrates suffer from poor reproducibility of the "hot spots." It is thus a major challenge to reproducibly prepare stable SERS substrates with uniform hot spots and possibly push the gaps to the sub-nanometer regime in order to enhance the sensitivity. We hypothesize that a vertical monolayer geometry consisting of Au nanorods may exhibit highly uniform hot spots. The essential anisotropic shape of Au nanorods also renders the vertical arrays with a strong nanoantenna effect. Finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD) simulation indeed suggests that such a monolayer of hexagonally packed nanorod arrays exhibits strong and uniform local electromagnetic fields. The realistic values for the permittivity of gold as a function of wavelength were used to take the absorption into account. Figure 1 displays the local electromagnetic field enhancement contour plots in the cross sectional areas of vertical ($x-z$ plane, Figure 1a) and horizontal ($x-y$ plane, Figure 1b) planes when the incident plane wave is in transverse electric (TE) polarization. The local electric field enhancement factor is defined as $|E/E_0|^a$, where $|E|$ and $|E_0|$ are magnitudes of the local electric field and the incident electric field, respectively. The fields are spatially confined into the gap between adjacent Au nanorods to give a homogeneous and strong near-field localization in 3D spatial structures. The maximum $|E/E_0|^a$ values are around $2.6 \times 10^6$ and $1.8 \times 10^6$ when the gap distance is 0.5 and 0.8 nm, respectively. The volume maximum and average electric field enhancement factors as a function of the edge-to-edge gap distance between two adjacent Au nanorods are plotted in Figure 1c. $|E/E_0|^a$ decreases with an increase of the gap distance, which indicates that gap distance decreases will improve the EF and the sensitivity of SERS. In addition, the gaps between the nanorod "forest" can trap liquid samples due to the capillary force, which is another advantage of the vertical Au nanorod arrays for SERS. Therefore, the highly organized vertical Au nanorod arrays act as an extended nanoantennae to generate a strong, reproducible, and highly homogeneous distribution of electric field, which may provide facile and reproducible SERS substrates that are better than the nanoparticle substrates reported previously. However, ordered assembly of anisotropic nanorods, particularly in monolayer fashion, is still a major challenge due to more varieties of the assembly modes in nanorods, compared to higher symmetry counterparts, such as nanoparticles. Until recently, only a few successes have been reported on the directional self-assembly of CTAB-stabilized Au nanorods into standing multilayer arrays with a $\sim 3$ nm gap distance. Herein, we demonstrate a simple but robust approach to self-assemble the CTAB-coated Au nanorods into vertical monolayer arrays with a nominal 0.8 $\pm$ 0.3 nm gap distance. On the basis of the vertically aligned Au nanorod monolayer with sub-nanometer gaps, the food contaminants, plasticizer and melamine, were detected at femtomolar level, 7 orders of magnitude lower than the maximum allowable level of 6 ppb regulated by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Similar sensitivity of $\sim 0.9$ fM concentration can be achieved in actual orange juices by intentionally adding trace amount of plasticizers, suggesting great potential toward real applications in food safety screening.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Self-Assembly of Au Nanorods into the Vertically Aligned Monolayer.** We use an evaporation-induced self-assembly strategy to generate the vertically aligned monolayer of CTAB-stabilized Au nanorods based on near-equilibrium status at the internal region of the drying droplet, which eliminates the complex ligand exchange reaction. Au nanorods with $\sim 92.5$ nm length and $\sim 34.3$ nm diameter were synthesized using CTAB as stabilizer, and their longitudinal plasmon band...
C is located at $\sim 717$ nm (Figure 2a). The transmission electron microscopy image (inset to Figure 2a) suggests that the nanorods exhibit good size uniformity. Ten microliter Au nanorod dispersion containing NaCl (0.01 M) was drop-casted on Si substrates kept in a Petri dish at room temperature ($\sim 21 ^\circ C$). After 12 h, the vivid hexagonally vertical arrays were formed, and the edge-to-edge gap distance between the adjacent Au nanorods was found to be $7.7 \pm 0.4$ nm (Figure 2b). The inset in Figure 2b shows the edge image which clearly indicates that the array is in monolayer fashion. In order to tune the gap distance between the adjacent Au nanorods, the ionic strength was adjusted to change the Debye length. In a colloidal aqueous dispersion, the Debye length for a symmetric monovalent electrolyte is usually denoted by $\kappa^{-1}(\text{nm}) = 0.3/(lI(M))^{1/2}$, where $l$ is the ionic strength expressed in molar (mol/L). In our experiments, NaCl is used as the electrolyte to adjust the ionic strength. The edge-to-edge gap distance decreases as the Debye length decreases. When the Debye length is adjusted to be 1.7 nm, the gap distance between adjacent vertical Au nanorods decreases to $6.7 \pm 0.9$ nm, which is approximately twice the length of a bilayer of the cationic surfactant CTAB. We believe this value is the lower limit we can achieve in assembly, and the gap distance between adjacent rods is tuned to $7.7 \pm 0.4, 7.9 \pm 0.9, 10.2 \pm 0.7$, and $11.8 \pm 0.8$ nm in the cases where the Debye lengths are 3.0, 4.4, 7.2, and 11.3 nm, respectively (Figure 2c). To further decrease the gap distance, the CTAB molecules on the nanorod surface attached during synthesis were removed. The vertical Au nanorod monolayer with a $\sim 7.7$ nm gap distance was treated by UV ozone cleaning for 20 min at room temperature. Surprisingly, the edge-to-edge gap distance decreases to $0.8 \pm 0.3$ nm, and crack patterns are formed (Figure 2d,e). The gap distance predominantly distributes between 0.6 and 1.0 nm (Figure 2f). We suggest that this is because electrostatic repulsive force diminishes as the CTAB molecules are removed. The van der Waals forces further drag the nanorods closer. This leads to the crack pattern and sub-nanometer gap distance formation in each domain. As a result, the local electromagnetic enhancement can be dramatically improved upon UV ozone treatment according to Figure 1c. To better understand the decrease of gap size, we have used the cathodoluminescence (CL) system to investigate the plasmon response, which is excited by a focused $8$ kV electron beam. After the treatment by UV ozone, the CL spectra show a red shift from 537 to 575 nm (Figure 3), indicating the gap size decrease, which is consistent with a previous theoretical work. Meanwhile, the CL intensity increases sharply, which indicates that the plasmon coupling is enhanced, further verifying the decrease of gap size.

**Mechanism of Self-Assembly of Au Nanorods.** We found that highly organized vertical monolayer arrays of Au nanorods can be obtained on a variety of substrates, ranging from indium tin oxide (ITO)-covered glass, GaN light-emitting diode (LED) devices, glass substrates, and even flexible PEN films (Supporting Information Figure S1), which is thus of high interest for a wide range of potential applications, such as the control on the directionality and polarization of LEDs, the light enhancer in flexible electronic and photonic devices. The results indicate that the formation of the vertically aligned monolayer is independent of substrates. Therefore, we propose that the assembly follows the following mechanism (Figure 4a–c): First, Au nanorods in the aqueous dispersion form the hexagonal initial...
nucleus in a side-to-side model, and then the free Au nanorods assemble around the nucleus, leading to the growth of the hexagonal monolayer arrays (Supporting Information Figure S2). Finally, the monolayer arrays precipitate to stand up on the substrate due to the gravity and van der Waal’s interactions to the host substrates. The fact that a few monolayer arrays lie down on the substrate horizontally after formation further proves our mechanism (Figure 4d). However, the vertical monolayer array predominates because it has a larger contact area with respect to the substrate and is subjected to the minimization of surface energy. During the self-assembly process, the van der Waals force and attractive depletion force induce adjacent Au nanorods to approach each other. Electrostatic repulsive force is responsible for stabilizing the Au nanorods within a certain distance and prevents them from random aggregation. The synergy between the attractive and repulsive force induces Au nanorods to highly ordered phases. To calculate the electrostatic energy between two similar parallel rods, the Derjaguin’s approximation is used, which assumes that the parallel rods are considered to be formed by the contributions of many slices of parallel thin plates. The electrostatic interaction energy per unit area between two similar parallel plates, $E_{pl}$, can be written as\(^{39}\)

$$E_{pl} = \varepsilon\varepsilon_0 K \phi^2 \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{h - 2t_{CTAB}}{2} \right) \right]$$

(1)

where $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_0$ are the relative electric permittivity of the electrolyte solution and the electric permittivity of a vacuum, respectively; $\kappa$ is the inverse Debye length; $\phi$ is the zeta-potential of Au rods; $h$ is the edge-to-edge gap distance between plates; $t_{CTAB}$ is the thickness of the CTAB bilayer on the surface of the plate, about 3.2 nm.\(^{39,40}\) With the help of Derjaguin’s approximation, the electrostatic energy between two similar parallel rods, $E_{ele}$, is given by\(^{41}\)

$$E_{ele} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{pl} dx$$

$$= 2l \sqrt{\pi K \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0 \phi^2} L_{1/2} \left( -e^{-h/(2t_{CTAB})} \right)$$

(2)

where $l$ is the length of the Au rod, $r$ is the radius of the Au rod; $kr \gg 1$ and $(h - 2t_{CTAB}) \ll r$. $L_i(x)$ is the polylogarithm function, defined by $L_i(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k/k^i$. The van der Waals $E_{vdw}$ depletion $E_{dep}$, and electrostatic energy as a function of gap distance of $h$ were calculated (Supporting Information) in the case of the Debye length of $\sim 3.0$ nm (Figure 4e).\(^{26,42}\) The depletion interaction is much smaller than van der Waals and

Figure 3. (a) CL spectra of the vertically aligned Au nanorod monolayer before and after treatment by UV ozone. (b) Normalized CL spectra from (a), which clearly shows the red shift after UV ozone treatment.

Figure 4. Schematic cartoons of the evolution of vertical Au nanorod self-assembly arrays in different stages: (a) the initial dispersion of the Au nanorods in the NaCl aqueous solution, (b) the nucleation and growth process of the self-assemblies, (c) the sedimentation and the final formation of the Au nanorod vertical arrays. (d) SEM image of a monolayer Au nanorod array lying down on the substrate horizontally. (e,f) Theoretical calculation results for the self-assemblies. (e) Interaction free energy as a function of the gap distance between two Au nanorods in the case that the Debye length $\kappa^{-1}$ is 3 nm. (f) Total interaction energy $E_{total}$ as a function of gap distance between two parallel Au nanorods in the case that $\kappa^{-1}$ is 11.3, 7.2, 4.4, 3, and 1.7 nm.
electrostatic interaction. If only the electrostatic and depletion interactions are considered, the resultant force is still repulsive, but the synergy of electrostatic and van der Waals interactions results in the energy minimized at 7.5 nm, which is in good agreement with our experimental data (≈7.7 nm). Therefore, the electrostatic and van der Waals interactions are predominant on the formation of vertical arrays. The total interaction energy, defined by $E_{\text{Total}} = E_{\text{ele}} + E_{\text{dep}} + E_{\text{vdw}}$, as a function of gap distance between two parallel similar Au nanorods is shown in Figure 4f. The free energy is minimized at 6.6, 7.5, and 7.8 nm when the Debye length is 1.7, 3.0, and 4.4 nm, respectively, which is in good agreement with the experimental data, and the minimum in the interaction energy becomes deeper and the gap distance between two Au nanorods decreases as Debye length decreases. However, when the Debye length is 7.2 and 11.3 nm, eq 2 is no longer applicable because $k \tau$ values are 2.4 and 1.5, respectively, which are close to 1. Therefore, the free energy is maximized at 10.6 and 11.8 nm, suggesting that it is not possible to assemble nanorods in a vertical monolayer array under such ionic strength.

Femtomolar Detection of Phthalates and Melamine. The unique vertical monolayer arrays are exploited for the ultrasensitive detection of food contaminants such as plasticizers and melamine. Phthalate is known as an endocrine disruptor which produces reproductive and developmental toxicity, such as miscarriage, fewer motile sperm, and external sex organ malformation in infants. Melamine, known as a triazine heterocyclic organic chemical material, can block and damage the renal cells, resulting in kidney malfunction and even death in infants. We used the highly aligned vertical monolayer of Au nanorods with a ≈0.8 nm edge-to-edge gap distance as the SERS substrates and selected benzylbutylphthalate (BBP, C$_{19}$H$_{20}$O$_4$) to investigate quantitatively the SERS signal. The Raman scattering spectroscopy was conducted with a 785 nm excitation. The signal interference due to CTAB molecules was eliminated by UV ozone cleaning. The characteristic peaks of CTAB molecules at 755 cm$^{-1}$ (C–N stretching vibration), 844 cm$^{-1}$ (CH$_3$ deformation), 1144 cm$^{-1}$ (C–C stretching vibration), and 1266 cm$^{-1}$ (CH$_2$ wagging vibration) disappear compared with the Raman spectrum of CTAB molecules before UV ozone (Figure 5a), which verify that CTAB molecules are removed completely. When a 10 μL solution of BBP (1 fm) in ethanol was drop-casted on the vertical Au rod monolayer arrays standing on 1 x 1 cm$^2$ Si substrate, a pronounced Raman signal was observed (Figure 5a, 10 μL 1 fm BBP). As the concentration and volume of BBP solution increase, the overall spectral intensity is rather quantitatively increased, with similar spectral features (Figure 5a, from 1 fm to 1 nm). The Raman peaks at 1066, 1580, and 1618 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the ring–ring stretching vibration of the ortho-phenyl group, and the peaks at 598, 639, and 766 cm$^{-1}$ are due to ring deformation. The peaks at 844, 1378, and 1450 cm$^{-1}$ have been assigned to the aromatic C–H twisting vibration, the CH$_3$ symmetric deformation, and the C–H in-plane bending of the alkyl group, respectively. On the basis of the highly sensitive SERS substrates, we can also detect melamine (C$_{3}$H$_{6}$N$_{6}$) and other plasticizers at

![Figure 5. Femtomolar detection of plasticizer and melamine solutions. (a) SERS spectra of BBP on vertically aligned Au nanorod monolayer, from bottom to top: CTAB-coated Au nanorod arrays before and after treatment by UV ozone, 10 μL 1 fm BBP, 10 μL 1 pm BBP, 30 μL 1 pm BBP, 10 μL 1 nM BBP, 100 μL 1 nM BBP, 10 μL 1 nM BBP. (b) SERS spectra of plasticizer (1 fm) from bottom to top: 20 μL 1 fm DEHP, the zoomed-in view of 10 μL 1 fm BBP in (a), 20 μL 1 fm DBP, 20 μL 1 fm DEP, and 10 μL 1 fm melamine. (c) Detection of BBP and DEHP in orange juices. SERS spectra from bottom to top: orange juices on Si substrate, 10 μL mixture solution of orange juice and ethanol, DEHP (0.9 fm), and BBP (0.9 fm) in mixture solution on vertical Au nanorod monolayer.](http://www.acsnano.org)
femtomolar levels, such as bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP, C26H36O4), dibutyl phthalate (DBP, C16H20O4), and diethyl phthalate (DEP, C12H10O4) (Figure 5b). For melamine, the peaks at 637 and 914 cm⁻¹ have been assigned to the ring breathing mode, involving an in-plane deformation of the triazine ring. The peaks at 729 and 762 cm⁻¹ correspond to the out-of-plane vibration of the ring. The ring stretching vibrations are at 1068 and 1078 cm⁻¹; 1350–1450 cm⁻¹ is assigned to a semicircle ring stretch. The quadrant ring stretching vibrations are in 1500–1600 cm⁻¹ region. The peak at 1620 cm⁻¹ is from NH₂ deformation. Such femtomolar level sensitivity and molecule fingerprint identification suggest that the vertical Au nanorod arrays pave a way to direct and fast detection of food contaminants in the real world, such as food or drinks.

We demonstrate this concept by designing an experiment to detect trace amounts of BBP and DEHP (0.9 fm) in orange juice. Ten microliters of orange juice sample was extracted from a bottle of a commercial brand orange juice from a grocery store in Singapore. We then mixed the orange juice sample with a phthalate plasticizer (BBP or DEHP) ethanol solution (90 μL, 1 fm), so the actual BBP or DEHP concentration was ~0.9 fm. Besides water, pure orange juice mainly contains Vitamin C and carotene. Direct Raman analysis of pure orange juice on Si substrates did not offer any detectable Raman signals (Figure 5c), but the SERS spectra of orange juice taken on vertical Au nanorod arrays showed the fingerprint features of Vitamin C and carotene at 610 (C–C ring stretching), 760 (OH out-of-plane deformation), 895 (C–C ring stretching), 960 (C–H and O–H in-plane bending), 1145 (C–O–C stretching), 1176 (methyl rock), 1245 (C–O–H bending), 1269 (C–O–C stretching), 1447 (C–H scissoring), and 1500 (C=C stretching) cm⁻¹, with the corresponding vibrational modes identified in the brackets. After addition of DEHP in orange juice at 0.9 fm, the Raman peaks of DEHP at 1001 and 1073 cm⁻¹, which were assigned to the ring–ring stretching mode, were detected in comparison to the SERS spectrum of the orange juice (Figure 5c). For the BBP-contaminated orange juice, the Raman peaks of BBP at 826, 1060, and 1360 cm⁻¹ were clearly identified (Figure 5c), although a few wavenumbers shift to lower energy is observed in comparison to BBP molecules in ethanol (Figure 5a), which is due to the intermolecular interactions between BBP molecules and Vitamin C in juices by the hydrogen bond and π-electrons. It is important to note that BBP and DEHP can be distinguished unambiguously, although they share some similar Raman fingerprints. Our results indicate that the plasticizer contaminations in the actual orange juice sample can be detected at femtomolar level, suggesting the great potential of a simple nanorod monolayer for practical applications in food safety and environmental screening.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we have demonstrated an evaporation-induced self-assembly of CTAB-coated Au nanorods to highly organized vertical monolayer arrays, which can be reproducibly prepared on arbitrary substrates, such as silicon, glass, GaN LEDs, and flexible PEN polymer. The edge-to-edge gap distance between adjacent Au nanorods can be tuned between 11.8 and 6.7 nm by adjusting the ionic strength. The synergy between the electrostatic repulsive force and the van der Waals attractive force keeps the Au nanorod arrays at equilibrium status. The decrease of electrostatic force will induce Au nanorods to approach each other in ambient environment, which can result in smaller edge-to-edge gap. Our experimental data show that the gap can decrease to the sub-nanometer regime by removing the CTAB molecules absorbed on Au nanorods by UV ozone treatment. FDTD calculation indeed suggests that the vertical monolayer arrays exhibit a strong and uniform electric field enhancement in the gap on the order of 10⁶ at the sub-nanometer gap distance, which results in the exceptional femtomolar detection of melamine and a variety of plasticizers in SERS with the molecular fingerprint clearly resolved. More importantly, the food contaminations, such as plasticizers, can be identified unambiguously using our devices at femtomolar level in orange juice, which is ~7 orders of magnitude lower than the safety limit allowed by the FDA. Therefore, the vertically aligned Au nanorod monolayer can be used as an ideal SERS-active substrate for organic chemical molecules, virus, protein, and DNA detection, even at the single-molecule level.

METHODS

Synthesis of CTAB-Coated Au Nanorods. Au nanorods were prepared using a seeded growth method with hexadecyltrimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) as stabilizing agents. The seed solution was prepared by mixing the aqueous solution of fresh ice-cold NaBr (0.6 mL, 0.1 M), HAuCl₄ (0.25 mL 0.01 M), and CTAB (9.75 mL 0.1 M). After rapid inversion for 2 min, the seed solution was allowed to stand for 2 h at 28 °C. The growth solution was made by sequentially adding the aqueous solution of AgNO₃ (0.01 M, 0.4 mL), HAuCl₄ (0.01 M, 2 mL), ascorbic acid (0.1 M, 0.32 mL), and HCl (1.0 M, 0.8 mL) into CTAB aqueous solution (0.1 M, 40 mL). Then, the seed solution (10 μL) was added into the growth solution. After a gentle inversion for 10 s, the mixture solution was left undisturbed for 16 h. Finally, Au nanorods were obtained by centrifugation and redispersed in CTAB aqueous solution (0.1 M, 40 mL).

Evaporation-Induced Self-Assembly of CTAB-Coated Au Nanorods to Vertically Aligned Monolayer. Three milliliters of prepared Au nanorods was centrifugated at the speed of 6000 rpm for 10 min, and the precipitates were redispersed in CTAB solution (2 mL, 2.5 mM) containing NaCl whose concentration was 0, 0.001, 0.004, 0.01, and 0.03 M. Then, the 10 μL dispersion of
CTAB-coated Au nanorods was dropped on arbitrary substrates, such as Si, glass, ITO glass, GaN LED devices, or flexible PEN polymer, which were cleaned with acetone and isopropyl alcohol. The samples were kept in Petri dish with cover at ~21 °C for 12 h. The humidity was ~70%. The vertically aligned monolayer of Au nanorods was formed.

**SERS and Cathodoluminescence Characterization.** Raman scattering spectroscopy was conducted on the Au nanorod arrays using a micro-Raman spectrometer (Horiba-JY T64000) excited with a solid-state laser (λ = 785 nm) in the backscattering configuration. The backscattered signal was collected through a 100× objective and dispersed by a 1800 g/mm grating, and the laser power on the sample surface was measured to about 1.2 mW. The typical integration time is 300 s. Quantitative cathodoluminescence was carried out using an Attolight Rosa 4634 microscope, which tightly integrates a high-speed achromatic reflective lens (N.A. 0.72) within the objective lens of a field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG-SEM). Cathodoluminescence was spectrally resolved with a Czerny-Turner spectrometer (320 mm focal length, 150 grooves/mm grating) and measured with an Andor Newton EM-CCD. Electron beam energies of 8 kV were used to excite the samples. The beam dwell time was set to 1 s.

**Detection of Plasticizers and Melamine.** To eliminate the signal interference of CTAB molecules absorbed on the surface of Au nanorods during the synthesis process, the vertical Au nanorod monolayer arrays were treated by UV ozone for 20 min without heating. The plasticizer ethanol solution and melamine methanol solution, such as BBP, DBP, DEP, and DEHP, were prepared with different concentration (1 fM, 1 pM, 1 nM). For BBP detection, 10 μL of BBP ethanol solution (1 fM) was dropped on vertical Au nanorod arrays on the 1 × 1 cm² Si substrate, which spread quickly. After 1 h, ethanol was totally evaporated and SERS signals were recorded. For other plasticizers and melamine, the procedure was the same.

**Plasticizer (BBP and DEHP) Detection in Orange Juice.** Ten microliters of orange juice bought from market was mixed with 90 μL of ethanol or plasticizer ethanol solution (BBP or DEHP, 1 fM). Ten microliter mixture solution was drop-casted on vertical Au nanorod arrays on the 1 × 1 cm² Si substrate. After ethanol totally evaporated, the SERS signals were recorded.
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